arXiv:math/0202120v1 [math.AT] 13 Feb 2002

Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a sphere-bundle over a sphere

Norio Iwase*

Address: Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Japan.
e-mail: iwase@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A criterion to determine the L-S category of a total space of a sphere-bundle over a sphere is given in terms of homotopy invariants of its characteristic map, and thus providing a complete answer to Ganea's Problem 4. As a result, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for such a total space N to have the same L-S category as its 'once punctured submanifold' $N \smallsetminus \{P\}$, $P \in N$. Also a necessary condition for such a total space M to satisfy Ganea's conjecture is obtained.

1 Introduction

The (normalised) L-S category $\mathrm{cat}(X)$ of X is the least number m such that there is a covering of X by $m+1$ open subsets each of which is contractible in X, which is the least number m such that the diagonal map $\Delta_{m+1} : X \to \prod^{m+1} X$ can be compressed into the 'fat wedge' $\mathrm{T}^{m+1}(X)$ (see James [8] and Whitehead [20]). By definition, we have $\mathrm{cat}(\{*\}) = 0$.

This simple definition, however, does not suggest a simple way of calculation. In fact, to *determine the L-S category of a sphere-bundle over a sphere in terms of homotopy invariants of its characteristic map* is listed as Problem 4 of Ganea [2] in 1971. Although a tight connection between L-S category and Bar resolution is pointed out by Ginsburg [3] in 1963, this homological approach is not strong enough to solve Ganea's problems on L-S category.

Ganea's Problem 2 is also a basic problem on $\mathrm{cat}(X \times S^n)$, where we easily see $\mathrm{cat}(X \times S^n) = \mathrm{cat}(X)$ or $\mathrm{cat}(X)+1$: *Can the latter case only occur on any X and $n \geq 1$?* The affirmative answer had become known as "Ganea's conjecture" or "the Ganea conjecture" (see James [9]), particularly for manifolds. By Singhof [17] followed by Montejano [11], Gómez-Larrañaga and González-Acuña [4] and Rudyak [15, 16], the conjecture is validated for a large class of manifolds.

The first closed manifold counter-example to the conjecture was given by the author [7] as a total space of a sphere-bundle over a sphere, using concrete computations of Toda brackets depending on results by Toda [19] and Oka [14]. Also, Pascal Lambrecht, Don Stanley and Lucile Vandembroucq [10] and the author [7] provided manifolds each of which has the same L-S category as its once punctured submanifold.

The purpose of this paper is to determine the L-S category of a sphere-bundle over a sphere in terms of a primary homotopy invariant of the characteristic map of a bundle, providing simpler proofs of manifold examples in [7]. Using it, we could obtain many closed manifolds each of which has the same L-S category as its once punctured submanifold and many closed manifold counter-examples to Ganea's conjecture on L-S category.

Throughout this paper, we follow the notations in [6, 7]: In particular for a map $f : S^k \to X$, a homotopy set of higher Hopf invariants $H^S_m(f) = \{[H^\sigma_m(f)] \mid \sigma \text{ is a structure map of } \mathrm{cat}\, X \leq m\}$ (or its

---

[*] Dedicated to Professor J. R. Hubbuck on his 60th birthday.

This research was supported by Max-Planck-Institute für Mathematik

MSC: Primary 55M30, Secondary 55P35, 55Q25, 55R35, 55S36.

Keywords and phrases. Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, higher Hopf invariant, sphere bundle, manifold, Ganea conjecture.

stabilisation $\mathcal{H}^S_m(f) = \Sigma^\infty_* H^S_m(f))$ is referred simply as *a (stabilised) higher Hopf invariant* of f, which plays a crucial role in this paper. For $f : S^k \to S^\ell$, we identify $H^S_1(f)$ and $\mathcal{H}^S_1(f)$ with their unique elements, $H_1(f)$ and $\mathcal{H}_1(f) = \Sigma^\infty H_1(f)$.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Hans Baues, Hans Scheerer, Daniel Tanré, Fred Cohen, Yuli Rudyak and John Harper for valuable conversations and Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for its hospitality during the author's stay in Bonn.

2 L-S category of a sphere-bundle over a sphere

Let $r \geq 1, t \geq 0$ and E be a fibre bundle over S^{t+1} with fibre S^r. Then E can be described as $S^r \cup_\Psi S^r{\times}D^{t+1}$, with $\Psi : S^r \times S^t \to S^r$ (see Whitehead [20]). Hence E has a CW decomposition $S^r \cup_\alpha e^{t+1} \cup_\psi e^{r+t+1}$ with $\alpha : S^t \to S^r$ and $\psi : S^{r+t} \to Q = S^r \cup_\alpha e^{t+1}$ given by the following formulae:

$$\alpha = \Psi|_{\{*\}\times S^t}, \quad \psi|_{S^{r-1}\times D^{t+1}} = \chi_\alpha\circ \mathrm{pr}_2, \ \psi|_{D^r\times S^t} = \Psi\circ(\omega_r{\times}1_{S^t}),$$

where we denote by $\chi_f : (C(A), A) \to (C_f, B)$ the characteristic map for $f : A \to B$ and let $\omega_r = \chi_{(*:S^{r-1}\to\{*\})}$. When $r = 1$, the L-S categories of E and Q are studied by several authors; especially by Singhof [17] in the case when $r = t = 1$. We summarise known results in this case.

Fact 2.1 *Let $r = 1$. Then we have the following.*

($t \neq 0$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$.

($t = 1$, $\alpha = \pm 1$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 0$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 2$.

($t = 1$, $\alpha = 0$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$.

($t = 1$, $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 3$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 3$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 4$.

($t > 1$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$.

When $r > 1$, we identify $H^S_1(\alpha)$ with its unique element, say $H_1(\alpha)$, since a sphere S^k has the unique structure $\sigma(S^k) : S^k \to \Sigma\Omega S^k$ for $\mathrm{cat}(S^k) = 1$, $k > 1$. We summarise the known results (due to Berstein-Hilton [1]) from [7, Facts 7.1, 7.2].

Fact 2.2 *Let $r > 1$. Then we have the following.*

($t < r$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$.

($t = r$, $\alpha = \pm 1_{S^r}$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 0$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 2$.

($t = r$, $\alpha \neq \pm 1_{S^r}$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$.

($t > r$, $H_1(\alpha) = 0$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 1$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$.

($t > r$, $H_1(\alpha) \neq 0$) $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 3$ *or* 2, $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 2$, $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$ *or* 3, $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$ *or* 4.

By [6] and [7, Theorem 5.2, 5.3, 7.3], the following is also known.

Fact 2.3 *When $r > 1$, $t \geq r$ and $\alpha \neq \pm 1$, we also have the following.*

(1) $\Sigma^n H_1(\alpha) = 0$ *implies* $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 2$, *and* $\Sigma^{n+1} H_1(\alpha) \neq 0$ *implies* $\mathrm{cat}(Q{\times}S^n) = 3$.

(2) $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$ *if and only if* $H^S_2(\psi) \ni 0$, *and* $\mathrm{cat}(E) = 2$ *implies* $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$ *for all* n.

(3) $\Sigma^n_* H^S_2(\psi) \ni 0$ *implies* $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 3$, *and* $\Sigma^{n+r+1} h_2(\alpha) \neq 0$ *implies* $\mathrm{cat}(E{\times}S^n) = 4$.

Remark 2.4 *When α is in meta-stable range, $H_1(\alpha) : S^t \to \Omega S^r{*}\Omega S^r$ is given by the second James-Hopf invariant $h_2(\alpha) : S^t \to \Sigma S^{r-1}{\wedge}S^{r-1}$ composed with an appropriate inclusion to a wedge-summand. Thus we may regard $h_2(\alpha) = H_1(\alpha)$ when α is in meta-stable range.*

But a higher Hopf invariant $H^S_2(\psi)$ is not very easy to determine. Our result is as follows:

Theorem 2.5 *Let* $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 2$ *with* $t > r > 1$*, Then* $H_2^S(\psi)$ *contains* 0 *if and only if* $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha) = 0$*. More generally for a co-H-map* $\beta : S^v \to S^{r+t}$, $H_2^S(\psi\circ\beta) = \beta^* H_2^S(\psi)$ *contains* 0 *if and only if* $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)\circ\beta = 0$*.*

The result is obtained by the following lemma for Q of $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 2$ with $t > r > 1$.

Lemma 2.6 $H_2^S(\psi) \ni \pm[(\hat{i}*1_{\Omega Q*\Omega Q})\circ\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)]$*, where the bottom-cell inclusion* $\hat{i} : S^{r-1} \hookrightarrow \Omega Q$ *denotes the adjoint of the inclusion* $i : S^r \hookrightarrow Q$*.*

By combining above facts with Theorem 2.5, we obtain an answer to Ganea's Problem 4:

Theorem 2.7

Conditions			*L-S category*			
r	t	α	$Q\times S^n$	Q	E	$E\times S^n$
$r = 1$	$t = 0$		2	1	2	3
	$t = 1$	$\alpha = \pm 1$	1	0	1	2
		$\alpha = 0$	2	1	2	3
		$\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$	3	2	3	4
	$t > 1$		2	1	2	3
$r > 1$	$t < r$		2	1	2	3
	$t = r$	$\alpha = \pm 1$	1	0	1	2
		$\alpha \neq \pm 1$	2	1	2	3
	$t > r$	$H_1(\alpha) = 0$	2	1	2	3
		$H_1(\alpha) \neq 0$ & $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha) = 0$	3 *or* 2 *(1)*	2	2	3
		$\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha) \neq 0$			3	3 *or* 4 *(2)*

(1): $\begin{cases} \Sigma^n H_1(\alpha) = 0 \text{ implies } \mathrm{cat}(Q\times S^n) = 2 \text{ and} \\ \Sigma^{n+1} H_1(\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ implies } \mathrm{cat}(Q\times S^n) = 3. \end{cases}$ *(2):* $\begin{cases} \Sigma^{r+n} H_1(\alpha) = 0 \text{ implies } \mathrm{cat}(E\times S^n) = 3 \text{ and} \\ \Sigma^{r+n+1} h_2(\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ implies } \mathrm{cat}(E\times S^n) = 4. \end{cases}$

3 Applications and examples

Firstly, Theorem 2.7 yields the following result.

Theorem 3.1 *Let a manifold* N *be the total space of a* S^r*-bundle over* S^{t+1} *with a characteristic map* $\Psi : S^r\times S^t \to S^r$, $t > r > 1$*, and let* $\alpha = \Psi|_{S^t}$*. Then* $\mathrm{cat}(N \smallsetminus \{P\}) = \mathrm{cat}(N)$ *if and only if* $H_1(\alpha) \neq 0$ *and* $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha) = 0$*.*

This theorem provides the following examples.

Example 3.2 *Let* p *be an odd prime and* $\alpha = \eta_2\circ\alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_1(2p)$*. Then we have that* $H_1(\alpha) = \alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_1(2p) \neq 0$ *and* $\Sigma^2 H_1(\alpha) = 0$ *by [19]. Let* $N_p \to S^{4p-2}$ *be the bundle with fibre* S^2 *induced by* $\Sigma(\alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_1(2p)) : S^{4p-2} \to S^4$ *from the bundle* $\mathbb{C}P^3 \to \mathbb{H}P^1 = S^4$ *with fibre* $Sp(1)/U(1) = S^2$*. By the argument given in [7] shows that* N_p *has a CW-decomposition as* $N_p \approx S^2 \cup_\alpha e^{4p-2} \cup_\psi e^{4p}$*. Then Theorem 3.1 implies that* $\mathrm{cat}(N_p) = \mathrm{cat}(N_p \smallsetminus \{P\}) = 2$*.*

Example 3.3 ([7]) *Let* p *be a prime* ≥ 5 *and* $\alpha = \eta_2\circ\alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_2(2p)$ *as in [7]. Then we have that* $H_1(\alpha) = \alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_2(2p) \neq 0$ *and* $\Sigma^2 H_1(\alpha) = 0$ *by [19]. Let* $L_p \to S^{6p-4}$ *be the bundle with fibre* S^2 *induced by* $\Sigma(\alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_2(2p)) : S^{6p-4} \to S^4$ *from the bundle* $\mathbb{C}P^3 \to \mathbb{H}P^1 = S^4$ *with fibre* $Sp(1)/U(1) = S^2$*. By the argument given in [7] shows that* L_p *has a CW-decomposition as* $L_p \approx S^2 \cup_\alpha e^{6p-4} \cup_\psi e^{6p-2}$*. Then Theorem 3.1 implies that* $\mathrm{cat}(L_p) = \mathrm{cat}(L_p \smallsetminus \{P\}) = 2$*.*

Secondly, Theorem 2.7 also yields the following result.

Theorem 3.4 *Let a manifold* M *be the total space of a* S^r*-bundle over* S^{t+1} *with a characteristic map* $\Psi : S^r\times S^t \to S^r$, $t > r > 1$*, and let* $\alpha = \Psi|_{S^t}$*. If* $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha) \neq 0$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha) = 0$*, then* M *is a counter-example to the Ganea's conjecture on L-S category; more precisely,* $\mathrm{cat}(M) = \mathrm{cat}(M\times S^n) = 3$ *if* $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha) \neq 0$ *and* $\Sigma^{n+r} H_1(\alpha) = 0$*.*

This theorem provides the following manifold counter examples to Ganea's conjecture on L-S category.

Example 3.5 *Let* $p=2$ *and* $\alpha=\eta_2\circ\eta_3^2\circ\epsilon_5$*. Then we have that* $H_1(\alpha)=\eta_3^2\circ\epsilon_5\neq 0$*,* $\Sigma^2 H_1(\alpha)\neq 0$ *and* $\Sigma^6 H_1(\alpha)=0$ *by [19]. Let* $M_2\to S^{14}$ *be the bundle with fibre* S^2 *induced by* $\Sigma(\eta_3^2\circ\epsilon_5):S^{14}\to S^4$ *from the bundle* $\mathbb{C}P^3\to\mathbb{H}P^1=S^4$ *with fibre* $Sp(1)/U(1)=S^2$*. By the argument given in [7] shows that* M_2 *has a CW-decomposition as* $M_2\approx S^2\cup_\alpha e^{14}\cup_\psi e^{16}$*. Then Theorem 3.4 implies that* $\mathrm{cat}(M_2\times S^n)=\mathrm{cat}(M_2)=3$ *for* $n\geq 4$*.*

Example 3.6 ([7]) *Let* $p=3$ *and* $\alpha=\eta_2\circ\alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_2(6)$ *as in [7]. Then we have that* $H_1(\alpha)=\alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_2(6)\neq 0$*,* $\Sigma^2 H_1(\alpha)\neq 0$ *and* $\Sigma^4 H_1(\alpha)=0$ *by [19]. Let* $M_3\to S^{14}$ *be the bundle with fibre* S^2 *induced by* $\Sigma(\alpha_1(3)\circ\alpha_2(6)):S^{14}\to S^4$ *from the bundle* $\mathbb{C}P^3\to\mathbb{H}P^1=S^4$ *with fibre* S^2*. By the argument given in [7] shows that* M_3 *has a CW-decomposition as* $M_3\approx S^2\cup_\alpha e^{14}\cup_\psi e^{16}$*. Then Theorem 3.4 implies that* $\mathrm{cat}(M_3\times S^n)=\mathrm{cat}(M_3)=3$ *for* $n\geq 2$*.*

Finally, Theorem 2.5 and [7, Theorem 5.2] imply the following result.

Theorem 3.7 *Let a manifold* X *be the total space of a* S^r*-bundle over* S^{t+1} *with a characteristic map* $\Psi:S^r\times S^t\to S^r$*,* $t>r>1$*, and let* $\alpha=\Psi|_{S^t}$*. When* $H_1(\alpha)\neq 0$ *and* β *is a co-H-map, we obtain that* $X(\beta)=S^r\cup_\alpha e^{t+1}\cup_{\psi\circ\beta}e^{v+1}$ *is of* $\mathrm{cat}(X(\beta))=3$ *if and only if* $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)\circ\beta\neq 0$*.*

4 Proof of Lemma 2.6

Let $\mathrm{cat}(Q)=2$ with $t>r>1$. In the remainder of this paper, we distinguish a map from its homotopy class to make the arguments clear.

Here, let us recall the definition of a *relative Whitehead product*: For maps $f:\Sigma X\to M$ and $g:(C(Y),Y)\to(K,L)$, we denote by $[f,g]^{\mathrm{rel}}:X{*}Y=C(X)\times Y\cup X\times C(Y)\to M\times L\cup\{*\}\times K$ the relative Whitehead product, which is given by

$$[f,g]^{\mathrm{rel}}|_{C(X)\times Y}(t\wedge x,y)=(f(t\wedge x),g(y))\quad\text{and}$$
$$[f,g]^{\mathrm{rel}}|_{X\times C(Y)}(x,t\wedge y)=(*,g(t\wedge y)).$$

Also a pairing $F:M\times L\to M$ with axes 1_M and $h:L\to M$ (see Oda [13]) determines a map

$$(F\cup\chi_h):(M\times L\cup\{*\}\times K)\to(M\cup_h K,M)$$

by $(F\cup\chi_h)|_{M\times L}=F$ and $(F\cup\chi_h)|_{\{*\}\times K}=\chi_h$, where $\chi_h:(K,L)\to(M\cup_h K,M)$ is a relative homeomorphism given by the restriction of the identification map $M\cup K\to M\cup_h K$. Then we can easily see that $\psi:S^{r+t}\to Q$ is given as

$$\psi=(\Psi\cup\chi_\alpha)\circ[\iota_r,C(\iota_t)],\tag{4.1}$$

where $\iota_k:S^k\to S^k$ and $C(\iota_k):C(S^k)\to C(S^k)$ denote the identity maps.

We denote by $j_i^Q:P^i(\Omega Q)\overset{j_i^Q}{\hookrightarrow}P^\infty(\Omega Q)$ the classifying map of the fibration $p_i^{\Omega Q}:E^{i+1}(\Omega Q)\to P^i(\Omega Q)$ and $e_i^Q=e_\infty^Q\circ j_i^Q$, where $e_\infty^Q:P^\infty(\Omega Q)\to Q$ is a homotopy equivalence extending the evaluation map $e_1^Q=ev:\Sigma\Omega Q\to Q$. Let σ_∞ be the homotopy inverse of e_∞^Q. Then we may assume that $\sigma_\infty|_{S^r}=j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)$ for dimensional reasons.

Proposition 4.1 *The following is a commutative diagram where the lower squares are pull-back dia-*

grams.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^3(\Omega Q) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "p_2^{\Omega Q}"'] & \Omega Q{*}E^2(\Omega Q) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "{[j_1^Q, j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}]^{\mathrm{rel}}}"'] & \Omega Q{*}\Omega Q{*}\Omega Q \arrow[d, "{[e_1^Q, (e_1^Q\times e_1^Q)\circ\chi_{[\iota,\iota]}]^{\mathrm{rel}}}"'] \\
P^2(\Omega Q) \arrow[r, "\hat{\Delta}_Q"'] \arrow[d, "e_2^Q"] & P^\infty(\Omega Q)\times\Sigma\Omega Q\cup\{*\}\times P^\infty(\Omega Q) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "e_\infty^Q\times e_1^Q\cup *\times e_\infty^Q"] & \mathrm{T}^3\,Q \arrow[d, hook] \\
Q \arrow[r, "\Delta_Q"'] \arrow[u, dotted, bend left, "\sigma_0"] \arrow[ur, dotted, "\sigma_0'"] & Q\times Q \arrow[r, "1_Q\times\Delta_Q"'] & Q\times Q\times Q.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.2 *The homotopy fibre* $\Omega Q{*}\Omega Q{*}\Omega Q\to\mathrm{T}^3\,Q$ *of the inclusion*

$$\mathrm{T}^3\,Q = Q\times(Q\vee Q)\cup\{*\}\times(Q\times Q)\hookrightarrow Q\times(Q\times Q)$$

is given by a relative Whitehead product $[e_1^Q,(e_1^Q\times e_1^Q)\circ\chi_{[\iota,\iota]}]^{\mathrm{rel}}$*, where* ι *denotes the identity* $1_{\Sigma\Omega Q}$ *and*

$$\chi_{[\iota,\iota]}:(C(\Omega Q{*}\Omega Q),\Omega Q{*}\Omega Q)\to(\Sigma\Omega Q\times\Sigma\Omega Q,\Sigma\Omega Q\vee\Sigma\Omega Q)$$

denotes a relative homeomorphism.

A lifting σ_0' of Δ_Q in diagram (4.2) is given by the following data:

$$\sigma_0'|_{S^r} = ((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r))\times\sigma(S^r))\circ\Delta_{S^r}$$

and $\sigma_0'|_{Q\smallsetminus S^r}$ for $u\wedge x\in(0,1]\times S^t/\{1\}\times S^r\subset Q$,

$$\sigma_0'(u\wedge x) = \begin{cases} ((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r))\circ\alpha\times\sigma(S^r)\circ\alpha)\circ H_t(2u\wedge x) & \text{if } u\le\frac{1}{2} \\ (\hat{\chi}_\alpha(2u-1,x_1),\hat{\chi}_\alpha(2u-1,x_2)),\ \mu_t(x)=(x_1,x_2) & \text{if } u\ge\frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where H_t is a homotopy $\Delta_{S^t}\sim\mu_t$ in $S^t\times S^t$, $\mu_k=\Sigma^{k-1}\mu_1:S^k\to S^k\vee S^k$ denotes the unique co-H-structure of S^k and $\hat{\chi}_\alpha$ is a null-homotopy $\sigma_\infty\circ\chi_\alpha:(C(S^t),S^t)\to(Q,S^r)\to(P^\infty(\Omega Q),\mathrm{im}(j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)))$ of $j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)\circ\alpha\sim *$.

Since the lower left square of diagram (4.2) is a homotopy pullback diagram, σ_0' and the identity 1_Q defines a lifting $\sigma_0:Q\to P^2(\Omega Q)$ of 1_Q.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. By [6, Lemma 2.1] with $(X,A)=(P^\infty(\Omega Q),\{*\})$, $(Y,B)=(P^\infty(\Omega Q),\Sigma\Omega Q)$, $Z=P^\infty(\Omega Q)$ and $f=g=1_{P^\infty(\Omega Q)}$, we have the following homotopy pushout-pullback diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^2(\Omega Q) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p_1^{\Omega Q}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "HPO"] & \{*\} \arrow[d] & \\
\Sigma\Omega Q \arrow[r] & P^2(\Omega Q) \arrow[r, "\hat{\Delta}_Q"] \arrow[d, "e_2^Q"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "HPB"] & P^\infty(\Omega Q)\times\Sigma\Omega Q\cup\{*\}\times P^\infty(\Omega Q) \arrow[d, "e_\infty^Q\times e_1^Q\cup *\times e_\infty^Q"] \\
& Q \arrow[r, "\Delta_Q"'] & Q\times Q,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.3}$$

where we replaced $P^\infty(\Omega Q)$ by Q in the bottom, since $P^\infty(\Omega Q)$ is the homotopy equivalent with Q by $e^Q_\infty : P^\infty(\Omega Q) \to Q$ and $\sigma_\infty : Q \to P^\infty(\Omega Q)$.

By [6, Lemma 2.1] with $(X, A) = (P^\infty(\Omega Q), \{*\})$, $(Y, B) = (P^\infty(\Omega Q), \Sigma\Omega Q)$, $Z = \{*\}$ and $f = g = *$, we have the following homotopy pushout-pullback diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega Q{\times}E^2(\Omega Q) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_1"'] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_2"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "HPO"] & \Omega Q \arrow[d] & \\
E^2(\Omega Q) \arrow[r] & \Omega Q{*}E^2(\Omega Q) \arrow[r, "{[j^Q_1, j^Q_2\circ\chi_{p^{\Omega Q}_1}]^{\mathrm{rel}}}"] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "HPB"] & P^\infty(\Omega Q){\times}\Sigma\Omega Q \cup \{*\}{\times}P^\infty(\Omega Q) \arrow[d, "e^Q_\infty{\times}e^Q_1{\cup}{*}{\times}e^Q_\infty"] \\
& \{*\} \arrow[r] & Q{\times}Q,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\chi_{p^{\Omega Q}_1} : (C(E^2(\Omega Q)), E^2(\Omega Q)) \to (P^2(\Omega Q), \Sigma\Omega Q)$ is a relative homeomorphism.

The above constructions give a standard ΩQ-projective plane $P^2(\Omega Q)$ and a standard projection $p^{\Omega Q}_2 : E^3(\Omega Q) \to P^2(\Omega Q)$. In fact, the diagonal map $\Delta^3_Q : Q \to Q{\times}Q{\times}Q$ is the composition $(1_Q{\times}\Delta_Q)\circ\Delta_Q$ and there is the following homotopy pushout-pullback diagram by [6, Lemma 2.1] with $(X, A) = (Q, \{*\})$, $(Y, B) = (Q{\times}Q, Q{\vee}Q)$, $Z = Q{\times}Q$, $f = \mathrm{pr}_1$ and $g = \Delta_Q\circ\mathrm{pr}_2$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\{*\}{\times}\Sigma\Omega Q \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] \arrow[dr, phantom, "HPO"] & \{*\}{\times}Q \arrow[d] & \\
Q{\times}\Sigma\Omega Q \arrow[r] & P^\infty(\Omega Q){\times}\Sigma\Omega Q \cup \{*\}{\times}P^\infty(\Omega Q) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "e^Q_\infty{\times}e^Q_1{\cup}{*}{\times}e^Q_\infty"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "HPB"] & \mathrm{T}^3\, Q \arrow[d, hook] \\
& Q{\times}Q \arrow[r, "1_Q{\times}\Delta_Q"'] & Q{\times}Q{\times}Q.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By combining this diagram with diagrams (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain the desired diagram. *QED.*

Since there is a right action of $S^t{\times}S^t$ on $S^r{\times}S^r$ by $\Psi^2 = (\Psi{\times}\Psi)\circ(1{\times}T{\times}1) : S^r{\times}S^r{\times}S^t{\times}S^t \to S^r{\times}S^r$, we obtain the following.

Proposition 4.3 *The map $\sigma'_0\circ\psi : S^t \to P^\infty(\Omega Q){\times}\Sigma\Omega Q \cup \{*\}{\times}P^\infty(\Omega Q)$ satisfies*

$$\sigma'_0\circ\psi \sim (((j^Q_1\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi^2_0 \cup (\hat\chi_\alpha \vee \hat\chi_\alpha))\circ[\mu_r, C(\mu_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}},$$

where $\Psi^2_0 = \Psi^2|_{(S^r\vee S^r)\times(S^t\vee S^t)} : (S^r{\vee}S^r){\times}(S^t{\vee}S^t) \to S^r{\vee}S^r$.

Proof. By (4.1), we know $\sigma'_0\circ\psi = \sigma'_0\circ(\Psi \cup \chi_\alpha)\circ[\iota_r, C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}} = \sigma'_0\circ(\Psi \cup \chi_\alpha) = (\sigma'_0|_{\mathrm{im}\,\sigma(S^r)}\circ\Psi \cup \sigma'_0\circ\chi_\alpha)\circ[\iota_r, C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}$, where we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma'_0|_{\mathrm{im}\,\sigma(S^r)}\circ\Psi = j^Q_1\circ\sigma(S^r)\circ\Delta_{S^r}\circ\Psi = j^Q_1\circ\sigma(S^r)\circ\Psi^2\circ(\Delta_{S^r}{\times}\Delta_{S^t}) \quad \text{and} \\
&\sigma'_0\circ\chi_\alpha = ((j^Q_1\circ\sigma(S^r))\circ\alpha{\times}(j^Q_1\circ\sigma(S^r))\circ\alpha)\circ H_t + (\hat\chi_\alpha{\vee}\hat\chi_\alpha)\circ C(\mu_t),
\end{aligned}$$

where the addition denotes the composition of homotopies. Using the same homotopy $H_t : \Delta_{S^t} \sim \mu_t$, we obtain homotopies

$$\sigma'_0|_{\mathrm{im}\,\sigma(S^r)}\circ\Psi \sim j^Q_1\circ\sigma(S^r)\circ\Psi^2\circ(\Delta_{S^r}{\times}\mu_t), \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma'_0\circ\chi_\alpha \sim (\hat\chi_\alpha{\vee}\hat\chi_\alpha)\circ C(\mu_t)$$

which fit together into a homotopy

$$\sigma_0'\circ(\Psi \cup \chi_\alpha) \sim (((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi^2\circ(\Delta_{S^r}{\times}\mu_t) \cup (\hat{\chi}_\alpha \vee \hat{\chi}_\alpha)\circ C(\mu_t)).$$

Then the homotopy $H_r : \Delta_{S^r} \sim \mu_r$ gives the homotopy relation

$$\sigma_0'\circ\psi \sim (((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi^2\circ(\mu_r{\times}\mu_t) \cup (\hat{\chi}_\alpha \vee \hat{\chi}_\alpha)\circ C(\mu_t))\circ[\iota_r, C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}},$$

which yields $\sigma_0'\circ\psi \sim (((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi_0^2 \cup (\hat{\chi}_\alpha \vee \hat{\chi}_\alpha))\circ[\mu_r, C(\mu_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}$. *QED.*

Hence by the definition of σ_0 and ψ, we obtain the following.

Proposition 4.4 $\hat{\Delta}_Q\circ p_2^{\Omega Q}\circ H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi) \sim [j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r), \hat{\chi}_\alpha]^{\mathrm{rel}}$,

Proof. By the definition of σ_0, we obtain

$$\hat{\Delta}_Q\circ\sigma_0\circ\psi \sim \sigma_0'\circ\psi \sim (((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi_0^2 \cup (\hat{\chi}_\alpha \vee \hat{\chi}_\alpha))\circ[\mu_r, C(\mu_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}.$$

Let $\mathrm{in}_i : Z \to Z{\vee}Z$ be the inclusion to the i-th factor. Then $[\mu_r, C(\mu_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}} : S^{r+t} \to (S^r{\vee}S^r){\times}(S^t{\vee}S^t)$ can be deformed as

$$\begin{aligned}[\mu_r, C(\mu_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}} &\sim [\mathrm{in}_1\circ\iota_r + \mathrm{in}_2\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_1\circ C(\iota_t) + \mathrm{in}_2\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\sim [\mathrm{in}_1\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_1\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}} + [\mathrm{in}_2\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_2\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\qquad + [\mathrm{in}_2\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_1\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}} + [\mathrm{in}_1\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_2\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\sim [\mathrm{in}_1\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_1\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}} + [\mathrm{in}_2\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_2\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\qquad + [\mathrm{in}_2\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_1\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}} + [\mathrm{in}_1\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_2\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\end{aligned}$$

in $(S^r{\vee}S^r){\times}(S^t{\vee}S^t)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Delta}_Q\circ\sigma_0\circ\psi &\sim (((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi_0^2 \cup (\hat{\chi}_\alpha \vee \hat{\chi}_\alpha))\circ[\mathrm{in}_1\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_1\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\quad + (((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi_0^2 \cup (\hat{\chi}_\alpha \vee \hat{\chi}_\alpha))\circ[\mathrm{in}_2\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_2\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\quad + (((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi_0^2 \cup (\hat{\chi}_\alpha \vee \hat{\chi}_\alpha))\circ[\mathrm{in}_2\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_1\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\quad + (((j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)) \times \sigma(S^r))\circ\Psi_0^2 \cup (\hat{\chi}_\alpha \vee \hat{\chi}_\alpha))\circ[\mathrm{in}_1\circ\iota_r, \mathrm{in}_2\circ C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\sim \mathrm{in}_1\circ(j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)\circ\Psi \cup \hat{\chi}_\alpha)\circ[\iota_r, C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\quad + \mathrm{in}_2\circ(j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)\circ\Psi \cup \hat{\chi}_\alpha)\circ[\iota_r, C(\iota_t)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\\
&\quad + [\hat{\chi}_\alpha, j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)]^{\mathrm{rel}}\circ\hat{T} + [j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r), \hat{\chi}_\alpha]^{\mathrm{rel}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{T} : S^{r+t} = S^{r-1}{*}S^t \to S^t{*}S^{r-1} = S^{r+t}$ is a switching map. Since $[\hat{\chi}_\alpha, j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)]^{\mathrm{rel}} \sim *$ in $P^\infty(\Omega Q){\times}\Sigma\Omega Q \cup \{*\}{\times}P^\infty(\Omega Q)$, we proceed as

$$\hat{\Delta}_Q\circ\sigma_0\circ\psi \sim \mathrm{in}_1\circ\sigma_\infty\circ\psi + \mathrm{in}_2\circ\sigma_\infty\circ\psi + [j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r), \hat{\chi}_\alpha]^{\mathrm{rel}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Delta}_Q\circ\Sigma\Omega\psi\circ\sigma(S^{r+t}) &= (j_1^Q{\times}j_1^Q)\circ\Delta_{\Sigma\Omega Q}\circ\Sigma\Omega\psi\circ\sigma(S^{r+t})\\
&= (j_1^Q{\times}j_1^Q)\circ(\Sigma\Omega\psi\circ\sigma(S^{r+t}) \times \Sigma\Omega\psi\circ\sigma(S^{r+t}))\circ\Delta_{S^{r+t}}\\
&\sim (j_1^Q\circ\Sigma\Omega\psi\circ\sigma(S^{r+t}){\vee}j_2^Q\circ\Sigma\Omega\psi\circ\sigma(S^{r+t}))\circ\mu_{r+t}\\
&= \mathrm{in}_1\circ\sigma_\infty\circ\psi + \mathrm{in}_2\circ\sigma_\infty\circ\psi.\end{aligned}$$

Since a higher Hopf invariant $H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi)$ is the difference between $\sigma_0\circ\psi$ and $j_1^Q\circ\Sigma\Omega\psi\circ\sigma(S^{r+t})$, we get the desired homotopy relation. *QED.*

Next we show the following.

Proposition 4.5 *There is a homotopy relation* $\hat{\chi}_\alpha \sim j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}\circ C(H_1(\alpha)) + j_1^Q\circ\delta_0 : (C(S^t), S^t) \to (P^\infty(\Omega Q), \mathrm{im}(j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)))$ *for some* $\delta_0 : S^{t+1} \to \Sigma\Omega Q$, *where the addition is given by the coaction* $(C(S^t), S^t) \to (C(S^t){\vee}S^{t+1}, S^t)$.

Proof. Let $\chi'_\alpha : (C(S^t), S^t) \to (P^2(\Omega Q), \Sigma\Omega Q)$ be the map given by the deformation of α to $p_1^{\Omega Q}\circ H_1(\alpha)$ in $\Sigma\Omega Q$ and by $\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}\circ C(H_1(\alpha)) : (C(S^t), S^t) \to (P^2(\Omega Q), \Sigma\Omega Q)$ as in [6, Lemma 5.4, Remark 5.5], where we denote by C the functor taking cones. Then by definition, we have $\chi'_\alpha \sim \chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}\circ C(H_1(\alpha))$ in $(P^2(\Omega Q), \Sigma\Omega Q)$ and $j_1^Q\circ\chi'_\alpha|_{S^t} = j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r)\circ\alpha = \hat{\chi}_\alpha|_{S^t}$. Hence the difference between $\hat{\chi}_\alpha$ and $j_2^Q\circ\chi'_\alpha$ is given by a map $\delta : S^{t+1} \to P^\infty(\Omega Q)\simeq Q$, which can be pulled back to $\delta_0 : S^{t+1} \to \Sigma\Omega Q$ $(\subset P^2(\Omega Q))$ (see the proof of [6, Theorem 5.6]). Thus we have $\hat{\chi}_\alpha \sim j_2^Q\circ\chi'_\alpha + j_1^Q\circ\delta_0 \sim j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}\circ C(H_1(\alpha)) + j_1^Q\circ\delta_0$. *QED.*

Now we prove Lemma 2.6 using Propositions 4.4 and 4.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
[j_1^Q, j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}]^{\mathrm{rel}}\circ H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi) &\sim \hat{\Delta}_Q\circ p_2^{\Omega Q}\circ H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi) \sim [j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r), \hat{\chi}_\alpha]^{\mathrm{rel}} \\
&\sim [j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r), j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}\circ C(H_1(\alpha))]^{\mathrm{rel}} + [j_1^Q\circ\sigma(S^r), j_1^Q\circ\delta_0] \\
&\sim \pm[j_1^Q, j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}]^{\mathrm{rel}}\circ(\hat{i}*1_{\Omega Q*\Omega Q})\circ(1_{S^{r-1}}*H_1(\alpha)) + (j_1^Q\vee j_1^Q)\circ[\sigma(S^r), \delta_0]
\end{aligned}$$

by Propositions 4.4 and 4.5. Since $[\sigma(S^r), \delta_0] \sim 0$ in $\Sigma\Omega Q\times\Sigma\Omega Q$, we proceed as

$$[j_1^Q, j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}]^{\mathrm{rel}}\circ H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi) \sim \pm[j_1^Q, j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}]^{\mathrm{rel}}\circ(\hat{i}*1_{\Omega Q*\Omega Q})\circ\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha),$$

Since the relative Whitehead product $[j_1^Q, j_2^Q\circ\chi_{p_1^{\Omega Q}}]^{\mathrm{rel}}$ induces a split monomorphism in homotopy groups, we have $H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi) \sim \pm(\hat{i}*1_{\Omega Q*\Omega Q})\circ\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)$. Thus we obtain

$$H_2^S(\psi) \ni [H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi)] = \pm[(\hat{i}*1_{\Omega Q*\Omega Q})\circ\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)].$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

5 Proof of Theorem 2.5

Let $\beta : S^v \to S^{r+t}$ be a co-H-map. If $[\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)\circ\beta] = 0$, then we have $H_2^S(\psi\circ\beta) \ni [H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi)\circ\beta] = \pm[(\hat{i}*1_{\Omega Q*\Omega Q})\circ\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)\circ\beta] = 0$ by Lemma 2.6. Hence we show the converse. There are cofibre sequences as follows:

$$S^t \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^r \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} Q \xrightarrow{q} S^{t+1}, \qquad S^{r+t} \xrightarrow{\psi} Q \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} E \xrightarrow{\hat{q}} S^{r+t+1}.$$

By the arguments in §4, we know there are 'standard' structures $\sigma(S^r) : S^r \to P^1(\Omega S^r)$ and $\sigma_0 : Q \to P^2(\Omega Q)$ for $\mathrm{cat}(S^r) = 1$ and $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 2$, respectively, where $\sigma_0|_{S^r} = \sigma(S^r)$ in $P^2(\Omega Q)$.

Let σ be a structure for $\mathrm{cat}(Q) = 2$ with $H_2^\sigma(\psi)\circ\beta \sim 0$ in $E^3(\Omega Q)$. For dimensional reasons, $\sigma|_{S^r}$ is homotopic to $\sigma(S^r)$ which is given by the bottom-cell inclusion. We regard $e_2^Q : P^2(\Omega Q) \to Q$ as a fibration with fibre $E^3(\Omega Q) \xrightarrow{p_2^{\Omega Q}} P^2(\Omega Q)$ and σ_0 as a cross-section of e_2^Q. Then by the definition of a structure, we have $e_2^Q\circ\sigma \sim 1_Q$. Thus we obtain the following homotopy relations:

$$\sigma|_{S^r} \sim \sigma(S^r) = \sigma_0|_{S^r} \quad \text{in } P^2(\Omega Q), \quad e_2^Q\circ\sigma \sim e_2^Q\circ\sigma_0 = 1_Q.$$

Thus the difference between σ and σ_0 is given by a map $\gamma : S^{t+1} \to P^2(\Omega Q)$ which can be lift to $E^3(\Omega Q)$:

$$\sigma \sim \sigma_0 + \gamma \quad \text{in } P^2(\Omega Q),$$

where the addition is taken by the coaction $\mu : Q \to Q \vee S^{t+1}$ along the collapsing $q : Q \to S^{t+1}$. Thus we obtain that $\sigma\circ\psi \sim \{\sigma_0, \gamma\}\circ\mu\circ\psi$ in $P^2(\Omega Q)$, where $\{\sigma_0, \gamma\} : Q \vee S^{t+1} \to P^2(\Omega Q)$ is a map given by $\{\sigma_0, \gamma\}|_Q = \sigma_0$ and $\{\sigma_0, \gamma\}|_{S^{t+1}} = \gamma$.

By the definition of ψ, we have

$$\mu\circ\psi \sim (\psi \vee q\circ\psi)\circ\mu + [\iota'_r, \iota''_{t+1}] \sim (\psi \vee *)\circ\mu + [\iota'_r, \iota''_{t+1}] \quad \text{in } Q \vee S^{t+1},$$

where $\iota'_r : S^r \hookrightarrow Q \hookrightarrow Q\vee S^{t+1}$ and $\iota''_{t+1} : S^{t+1} \hookrightarrow Q\vee S^{t+1}$ are inclusions. Hence we have

$$\sigma\circ\psi \sim \sigma_0\circ\psi + [\sigma(S^r), \gamma] \quad \text{in } P^2(\Omega Q),$$

which yields the following homotopy relation, since β is a co-H-map:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\sim p_2^{\Omega Q}\circ H_2^{\sigma}(\psi)\circ\beta \sim P^2(\Omega\psi)\circ\sigma(S^{r+t})\circ\beta - \sigma\circ\psi\circ\beta \\
&\sim P^2(\Omega\psi)\circ\sigma(S^{r+t})\circ\beta - (\sigma_0\circ\psi\circ\beta + [\sigma(S^r),\gamma]\circ\beta) \\
&\sim (P^2(\Omega\psi)\circ\sigma(S^{r+t}) - \sigma_0\circ\psi)\circ\beta - [\sigma(S^r),\gamma]\circ\beta \\
&\sim p_2^{\Omega Q}\circ H_2^{\sigma_0}(\psi)\circ\beta - [\sigma(S^r),\gamma]\circ\beta \sim \pm p_2^{\Omega S^r}\circ\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)\circ\beta - [\sigma(S^r),\gamma]\circ\beta
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

in $P^2(\Omega Q)$. To proceed further, we consider the following commutative ladder of fibre sequences.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega S^r \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] & E^3(\Omega S^r) \arrow[r, "p_2^{\Omega S^r}"] \arrow[d, hook] & P^2(\Omega S^r) \arrow[r, "e_2^{S^r}"] \arrow[d, hook] & S^r \arrow[d, hook] \\
\Omega Q \arrow[r, hook] & E^3(\Omega Q) \arrow[r, "p_2^{\Omega Q}"] & P^2(\Omega Q) \arrow[r, "e_2^{Q}"] & Q.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the pair $(E^3(\Omega Q)$,$E^3(\Omega S^r))$ is $(t+2r-1)$-connected and $t+1 < r+t < t+2r-1$, $r > 1$, we have $\pi_{t+1}(E^3(\Omega Q)) \cong \pi_{t+1}(E^3(\Omega S^r))$ and $\pi_{r+t}(E^3(\Omega Q)) \cong \pi_{r+t}(E^3(\Omega S^r))$. Since γ can be lift to $E^3(\Omega Q)$ and we know $\pi_{t+1}(E^3(\Omega Q)) \cong \pi_{t+1}(E^3(\Omega S^r))$, we may regard that the image of γ is contained in $P^2(\Omega S^r)$. Thus (5.1) implies a homotopy relation

$$p_2^{\Omega S^r}|_{S^{r-1}*E^2(\Omega S^r)}\circ\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)\circ\beta \sim \pm[\sigma(S^r),\gamma]\circ\beta \quad \text{in } P^2(\Omega Q). \tag{5.2}$$

Since $p_2^{\Omega Q}$ induces a split monomorphism in the homotopy groups of dimension $r+t$ and we know $\pi_{r+t}(E^3(\Omega Q)) \cong \pi_{r+t}(E^3(\Omega S^r))$, (5.2) implies a homotopy relation

$$p_2^{\Omega S^r}|_{S^{r-1}*E^2(\Omega S^r)}\circ\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha) \sim \pm[\sigma(S^r),\gamma] \quad \text{in } P^2(\Omega S^r).$$

To show $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)\circ\beta$ is trivial, we use the following proposition obtained by a straight-forward calculation (see Mac Lane [12], Stasheff [18] or [5], for example) of Bar resolution:

Proposition 5.1 *The composition map*

$$\partial : E^{m+1}(\Omega S^r) \overset{p_m^{\Omega S^r}}{\to} P^m(\Omega S^r) \to P^m(\Omega S^r)/\Sigma\Omega S^r \simeq \Sigma E^m(\Omega S^r)$$

induces a homomorphism

$$\partial_* : \tilde{H}_*(\wedge^{m+1}\Omega S^r;\mathbb{Z}) \to \tilde{H}_*(\wedge^m\Omega S^r;\mathbb{Z}),$$

which is given by

$$\partial_*(x^{a_0}\otimes x^{a_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^{a_m}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^i x^{a_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^{a_{i-1}+a_i}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^{a_m},$$

where $a_0,\cdots,a_m \geq 1$ and $x \in H_{r-1}(\Omega S^r;\mathbb{Z})$ is the generator of the Pontryagin ring $H_*(\Omega S^r;\mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 5.1.1 *The composition map*

$$\partial' : S^{r-1}*E^2(\Omega S^r) \subset E^3(\Omega S^r) \overset{\partial}{\to} \Sigma E^2(\Omega S^r) \to \Sigma E^2(\Omega S^r)/\Sigma(S^{r-1}*\Omega S^r)$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\partial_* : \tilde{H}_*(S^{r-1}\wedge\Omega S^r\wedge\Omega S^r;\mathbb{Z}) \to \tilde{H}_*((\Omega S^r/S^{r-1})\wedge\Omega S^r;\mathbb{Z}),$$

which is given by

$$\partial'_*(x\otimes x^j\otimes x^k) = -x^{j+1}\otimes x^k, \quad j,k \geq 1.$$

Thus we obtain a left homotopy inverse of $p_2^{\Omega S^r}|_{S^{r-1}*E^2(\Omega S^r)} : S^{r-1}*E^2(\Omega S^r) \to P^2(\Omega S^r)$ as a composition map $P^2(\Omega S^r) \to P^2(\Omega S^r)/\Sigma\Omega S^r \approx \Sigma E^2(\Omega S^r) \to \Sigma E^2(\Omega S^r)/\Sigma(S^{r-1}*\Omega S^r) \simeq S^{r-1}*E^2(\Omega S^r)$, where the image of $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)$ lies in $S^{r-1}*E^2(\Omega S^r)$. On the other hand by the fact that $\operatorname{im}\sigma(S^r) \subset \Sigma\Omega S^r$, we also know that the Whitehead product $[\sigma(S^r),\gamma]$ vanishes in the quotient space $P^2(\Omega S^r)/\Sigma\Omega S^r$, and hence never appears non-trivially in $S^{r-1}*E^2(\Omega S^r)$. Thus we conclude that $\Sigma^r H_1(\alpha)\circ\beta$ is trivial.

References

[1] I. Berstein and P. J. Hilton, *Category and generalized Hopf invariants*, Illinois. J. Math. **12** (1968), 421–432.

[2] T. Ganea, *Some problems on numerical homotopy invariants*, Symposium on Algebraic Topology, Lect. Notes in Math. **249**, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1971) 13–22.

[3] M. Ginsburg, *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann Category*, Ann. of Math. **77** (1963), 538–551.

[4] J. C. Gómez-Larrañaga and F. González-Acuña, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of 3-manifolds*, Topology **31** (1992), 791–800.

[5] N. Iwase, *On the K-ring structure of X-projective n-space*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu U. (A) Math., **38** (1984), 285-297.

[6] N. Iwase, *Ganea's conjecture on Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Bull. Lon. Math. Soc., **30** (1998), 623–634.

[7] N. Iwase, *A_∞-method in Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, to appear in Topology.

[8] I. M. James, *On category, in the sense of Lusternik-Schnirelmann*, Topology **17** (1978), 331-348.

[9] I. M. James, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann Category*, "Handbook of algebraic topology", 1293-1310, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.

[10] P. Lambrecht, D. Stanley and L. Vandembroucq, *Embedding up to homotopy of two-cones into Euclidean space*, preprint.

[11] L. Montejano, *A quick proof of Singhof's* $\mathrm{cat}(M\times S^1) = \mathrm{cat}(M)+1$ *theorem*, Manuscripta Math. **42** (1983), 49–52.

[12] S. Mac Lane, "Homology", Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1963.

[13] N. Oda, *Pairings and copairings in the category of topological spaces*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto U. **28** (1992), 83–97.

[14] S. Oka, *The stable homotopy Groups of Spheres I*, Hiroshima Math. J. **1** (1971), 305–337.

[15] Y. B. Rudyak, *On the Ganea conjecture for manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 2511–2512.

[16] Y. B. Rudyak, *On category weight and its applications*, Topology **38** (1999), 37–55.

[17] W. Singhof, *Minimal coverings of manifolds with balls*, Manuscripta Math. **29** (1979), 385–415.

[18] J. D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces, I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 275–292, 293–312.

[19] H. Toda, "Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres", Princeton U. Press, Princeton N.Y., Ann. of math. studies **49**, 1962.

[20] G. W. Whitehead, "Elements of Homotopy Theory", Springer Verlag, Berlin, GTM series **61**, 1978.